## Case 3:10-cv-04907-TEH Document 9 Filed 01/28/11 Page 1 of 3 DAVID W. SHAPIRO (CA No. 219265) KAMALA D. HARRIS 1 KIERAN P. RINGGENBERG (CA No. Attorney General of California 2 208600) JESSICA N. BLONIEN Supervising Deputy Attorney General AMANDA J. MURRAY (CA No. 223829) ALEXÍS J. LOEB (CA No. 269895) 3 BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP 1999 Harrison Street, Suite 900 Deputy Attorney General Oakland, California 94612 455 Golden Gate Avenue, 4 Telephone: (510) 874-1000 Suite 11000 San Francisco, CA 94102-7004 Telephone: (415) 703-5741 Facsimile: (510) 874-1460 5 Email: dshapiro@bsfllp.com Facsimile: (415) 703-5843 6 kringgenberg@bsfllp.com Email: Amanda.Murray@doj.ca.gov aloeb@bsfllp.com 7 Attorneys for Respondent Vincent Cullen 8 Attorneys for Petitioner Ron Mosley 9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 10 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 11 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION 12 13 Case No.: CV-10-4907 TEH RON MOSLEY, 14 Petitioner, STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] 15 ORDER TO EXTEND TIME FOR v. FILING OF PETITIONER'S 16 **TRAVERSE** 17 VINCENT CULLEN, Warden, 18 Respondent. 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

28

I			
1	Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 6-2, and in accordance with Local Rule 7-12,		
2	Petitioner Ron Mosley and Respondent Vincent Cullen (together, the "Parties") jointly		
3	submit this stipulation to extend time for Mr. Mosley to file his traverse in response to		
4	Respondent's Answer.		
5	WHEREAS, on November 2, 2010 this Court issued an order for Respondents to		
6	show cause why this Court should not issue a writ of habeas corpus;		
7	WHEREAS, pursuant to that order, Respondent filed its answer on January 7, 201		
8	and Petitioner is currently required to file his traverse responding to that answer on or		
9	before February 7, 2011;		
10	WHEREAS, Respondent's petition for writ of certiorari in <i>Pirtle v. California Bd.</i>		
11	of Prison Terms, 611 F.3d 1015 (9th Cir. 2010), petition for cert. filed sub nom. Cate v.		
12	Pirtle, 2010 WL 5497190 (U.S. Dec. 31, 2010) (No. 10-868), which seeks reversal of the		
13	Ninth Circuit's decision in <i>Mosley v. Oroski</i> , Nos. 08-15327 & 08-15389, 2010 WL		
14	4813677 (9th Cir. Nov. 24, 2010), remains pending before the United States Supreme		
15	Court;		
16	WHEREAS, there is substantial overlap in the issues presented in that case and the		
17	issues presented in the above-captioned matter;		
18	WHEREAS, the Supreme Court recently decided Swarthout v. Cooke, No. 10-333,		
19	562 U.S, 2011 WL 197627 (Jan. 24, 2011), introducing additional legal issues that		
20	Mr. Mosley's traverse must address;		
21	NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by the Parties, through their		
22	respective counsel of record, that Petitioner shall file his traverse three weeks from the		
23	date that the Supreme Court renders its decision in Cate v. Pirtle.		
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	2		

## Case 3:10-cv-04907-TEH Document 9 Filed 01/28/11 Page 3 of 3

1	1	
2	<b>I</b> I	
3	By:	/s/ Alexis J. Loeb Alexis J. Loeb
4	1	Boies, Schiller & Flexner LLP
5	5	Attorneys for Ron Mosley
6	In accordance with General Order No. 45, Rule X-B, the above signatory attests that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from the signatory below	
7		
8		
9	DATED: January 27, 2011 By: _	/s/ Amanda J. Murray
10		Amanda J. Murray Deputy Attorney General
11	1	Attorneys for Vincent Cullen
12	2	
13	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.	
14	4	TES DISTRICT
15	DATED: January <u>28</u> , 2011	
16	5	
17	7	The Iderson
18	3	Judge Thelton E. Henderson
19		
20		DISTRICT OF CE
21	1	DISTRICT
22	$2 \parallel$	
23	3	
24	4	
25	5	
26	5	
27	7	
28		
	STIP & IRRODOCEDI ORDER TO EXTEND TIME E	COD BH INC OF RETITIONED S TO AVED SE